

criminal (col. 1, lines 6 – 10, Figures 1 and 5, etc.) and a sound assembly to provide appropriate sound effects for a police car in pursuit of a criminal (col. 2, lines 8 – 11). Contrary to the Examiner's assertion (at page 5, second paragraph, of the remarks), Wu does not disclose or suggest a security alarm system in a toy vehicle. The policemen projecting from the windows and the police car sound effects are not, and do not suggest, a toy security alarm. Moreover, each of the rejected claims recites a security alarm device comprising a controller, and an armed and an unarmed state, with the controller being responsive to a signal input to at least one input of the controller to cause the security alarm device to selectively assume the armed and unarmed states. Wu does not describe armed and unarmed states, or a controller that causes an alarm device to selectively assume the armed and unarmed states.

Together, Drori and Wu respectively disclose a real-life security alarm and essentially only a toy vehicle with sound effects. There is nothing in Drori or Wu that suggests providing Wu with a security alarm device as claimed. It is submitted that the bare existence of toy vehicles, as in Wu, and real-life vehicle security devices, as in Drori, does not suggest providing a toy security alarm for a toy vehicle.

The Examiner has selected two references from entirely different fields of endeavor: security devices and toy cars. The examiner incorrectly asserts that Wu "teaches a security alarm system in a toy car." In the absence of such a teaching, or even a suggestion, there is nothing in Wu and Drori to teach or suggest, or provide any motivation for, combining Wu and Drori.

Therefore, it is submitted that Drori and Wu do not render the rejected claims obvious. Applicant requests that the rejection under 35 USC 103 be withdrawn.


CONCLUSION

Reconsideration and allowance of the application with claims 2 - 9, 11, 12, and 24 - 36 are requested.

I certify that this paper, together with any documents referred to as attached or enclosed, are being deposited this date with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C., 20231.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 17, 2001



Frank J. DeRosa
Reg. No. 26,543
BROWN RAYSMAN MILLSTEIN
FELDER & STEINER LLP
900 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 895-2000
(212) 895-2900 FAX